The inhibition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns confers high protection against fungi and oomycetes in plants
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ABSTRACT

Crops of agricultural interest are highly affected by fungi- and oomycetes-caused diseases in Cuba and worldwide. The search for alternatives for its control continues, as a major challenge with the use of biotechnological techniques. In nature, plants are exposed to biotic stress and develop resistance against pathogenic infection through the fast activation of the innate immune system. Such an effective resistance response requires the detection and fast inhibition of the evolutionary conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). These PAMPs comprise, among others, proteases and polygalacturonases, which mediate the initial pathogenicity mechanisms during infection that counteract the initial plant defensive responses. In this work, inhibitors of pathogen’s proteases and polygalacturonases were developed to generate plant resistance against a wide spectrum of fungi- and oomycetes-caused diseases. Tobacco plants expressing a polygalacturonase inhibitor conferred, for the first time, high levels of resistance against this type of pathogens under field conditions. Additionally, a novel protease inhibitor effective against pathogens’ proteases was identified and characterized, which also provided resistance against pathogenic oomycetes in plants. This research granted the 2013 Award of the Cuban National Academy of Sciences.
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INTRODUCTION

Throughout the evolution, plants have developed strategies to recognize pathogens and to generate an effective protective response. Likewise, pathogens have evolved mechanisms to evade, suppress or both the plant defensive responses. Plants are resistant to microbial infection through its basal defensive mechanism of the innate immune system. It becomes activated by the recognition of evolutionary-conserved pathogens’ molecules which were denominated pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PMAPs), which include proteins, enzymes, peptides, carbohydrates and lipids.

In general, there are two PAMPs activation pathways in plants [1]. The first one is mediated by PMAPs receptors or inhibitors. The second one is mainly intracellular and acts through polymorphic proteins bearing nucleotide binding sites and leucine repeats (NBS-LRR), most of them encoded by resistance genes (R). In fact, some authors have proposed a so-called ‘Zigzag’ model for the functioning of the immune system.
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in plants [1]. On its first phase, PAMPs are detected by its receptors or neutralized by host inhibitors, as part of the PAMPs-induced immune activation, which could halt plant colonization by the pathogen. On the second phase, a given effector is recognized by one of the NBS-LRR proteins what triggers an activation pathway (the effector activated immunity pathway).

In these processes, the cell wall is the primary line of defense against pathogenic microorganisms. Most of them produce cell wall lytic enzymes, particularly relevant for its specialized penetration structures. Among them, polygalacturonases (PGs) play a significant role at the initial infection stages. In fact, a set of proteins known as polygalacturonases-inhibitory proteins (PGIPs), which recognize PGs and interferes plant cell wall degradation [2].

PGIPs bear leucine-rich repeats, as most PAMPs receptors [3], and are able to recognize PGs from microorganisms and insects. They not only bind to PGs and delay pectin hydrolysis, but also favor the accumulation of oligogalacturonides (OG), a type of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) which, like PAMPs, activate the innate immune response in plants [4]. For example, there was well established how relevant PGIPs are for plant resistance against the infection of the necrophytic fungus Botrytis cinerea. Transgenic tomato and grape plants expressing a pear PGIP, and transgenic tobacco and Arabidopsis plants expressing a PGIP from Arabidopsis, respectively, showed improved resistance against Botrytis sp. infection in greenhouse experiments [5-8]. Monocotyledonous plants have been also protected by the transgenic expression of a bean PGIP against the infection by Fusarium graminearum and Bipolaris sorokiniana fungi, in spite of showing low cell wall pectin content [9, 10].

For the same purposes, protein inhibitors have been also considered, being among the main sets of proteins induced by the plant-pathogen interaction. Plant protease inhibitors are normally expressed in seeds and tubers and become induced in the plant’s vegetative organs as in leaves and roots. They display two main functions: 1) to regulate the plant’s endogenous proteases, and 2) to inhibit the exogenous proteases of plant pathogens.

The use of protease inhibitors to protect plants from fungi and bacterial infection has been reported [11-14]. Increased levels of chymotrypsin and trypsin inhibitors have been correlated with plant resistance against different pathogens [12, 15]. This process was first identified in tomato plants infected with Phytophthora infestans [16]. Previous studies showed that potato tubers accumulate serin-proteinase inhibitors in response to the attack by P. infestans [17, 18]. Noteworthy, PAMPs-mediated resistance shows a wider spectrum and last longer than that mediated by protease inhibitors.

Hence, in this work we report the results obtained on using both mechanisms of PAMPs inhibitors-mediated resistance for plant protection against fungi and oomyctes.

**Results and discussion**

**Polygalacturonase inhibitory protein (PvPGIP2)**

The effect of the polygalacturonase inhibitory protein (PvPGIP2) of Phaseolus vulgaris protects tobacco plants against the infection of relevant pathogens such as the Rhizoctonia solani fungus and Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae and Peronospora hyoscyami f. sp. tabacina oomyctes. Hence, the use of PvPGIP2 as a powerful, wide spectrum genetic engineering tool was proposed to confer disease resistance.

Under greenhouse conditions, the main symptoms of R. solani, control plants developed small stem lesions, which progressively spread through the stem, turning it brown and causing its death. By the contrary, transgenic tobacco lines expressing PvPGIP2 protein developed sparse and very limited disease symptoms (Table). In fact, symptoms coincided with an increase in fungus biomass in colonized control roots while there was no significant increase in transgenic lines. Moreover, under greenhouse conditions, both transgenic tobacco lines expressing PvPGIP2 were extraordinarily resistant to P. parasitica var. nicotianae (Figure 1).

**Table. Reaction of tobacco plants expressing the PvPGIP2 protein against the Rhizoctonia solani fungus under natural and greenhouse conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Disease incidence (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plantlets death</td>
<td>Stem rot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 2.1 of Nicotiana tabacum SR1 expressing</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvpgip2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 2005 of Nicotiana tabacum SR1 expressing</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pvpgip2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum SR1</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient of variation (%)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Arsenic- transformed percentage of disease incidence (n = 50). GH: Greenhouse conditions. NC: natural conditions.*

Figure 1. Evaluation of tobacco plants expressing the PvPGIP2 against the Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae. A) Phenotype of the PvPGIP2 transgenic line 2.1. B) Phenotype of the PvPGIP2 transgenic line 2005. C) Control plants. Experiments were run in soil highly infected ten days after transplantation. D) Comparative evaluation of two homozygous tobacco transgenic lines expressing the PvPGIP2 protein and reference phenotypes of Nicotiana genera, assessed by the degree of resistance against the disease under greenhouse conditions. Bars represent the mean plus/less the standard error (n = 50).
Two weeks after inoculation, mild symptoms appeared in control plants, while there were no detectable symptoms in transgenic plants. Nevertheless, severe disease effects were evident in control plants after 5 weeks (withered leaves and stem rot) but, remarkably, transgenic plants remained healthy with a resistance similar to the natural high resistance shown by *Nicotiana* species.

Experiments were also run under field conditions during the winter, when the cold and wet climate promotes the incidence of blue mold disease in tobacco, which is caused by *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina* in Cuba. Once again, transgenic plants developed high levels of resistance comparable to that of naturally-resistant *Nicotiana* species, demonstrating that the expression of the PvPGIP2 gene, which encodes a PG-inhibitory protein, is a feasible way to confer high resistance against fungi and oomycetes under greenhouse or field conditions. This is a good strategy to confer resistance in economically relevant crops against oomycetes, a high-incidence group of microorganisms which causes great economic losses and significant environmental damage on natural ecosystems.

Pathogen protease-inhibitory protein NmIMSP
Gene regulation during the *N. megalosiphon* - *P. parasitica* var. *nicotianae* interaction was characterized with the aid of SuperSAGE technology, particularly targeting induced microbial protease inhibitor expression. A cDNA coding for a protease inhibitor named NmIMSP was identified as overexpressed and associated to the defensive response of *N. megalosiphon*. The highest expression levels were detected in leaves, which remained constant over the test period.

Conversely, the functional silencing of NmIMSP expression compromised the *N. megalosiphon* resistance to the infection. Stem damage one week post-inoculation in unsilenced control plants was established as the unit of disease-related damage (*n* = 15; mean ± standard deviation), with a 1-to-10 evaluation scale from high resistance to susceptibility. Transgenic plants remained resistant when unsilenced or by silencing an unrelated gene, while NmIMSP-silenced transgenic plants showed a 3.2 ± 0.1 damage degree. Highly susceptible *N. tabacum* cv. ‘Sumatra’ plants were used as control (damage degree 9.8 ± 0.1). These results corroborated the role of this gene on the observed plant defensive response.

Further evidences were obtained in *N. benthamiana* plants, where the expression of the NmIMSP gene at high levels made plants highly resistant to *P. parasitica* var. *nicotianae* and *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina* infection, under greenhouse conditions (Figure 2). Phylogenetic studies in a set of 25 proteins revealed that NmIMSP belongs to a subgroup of *Nicotiana* IMSPs. Specifically, NmIMSP was highly homologous to *N. tabacum* IMSP members, including one induced during the interaction of the tobacco mosaic virus with *N. tabacum* cv. Samsun NN. Noteworthy, *N. tabacum* cv. Samsun NN and *N. benthamiana* are highly susceptible to *P. parasitica* var. *nicotianae* and *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina*.

Unlikely, the few differences in the ISMP aminoacidic sequences among *Nicotiana* members would not be responsible for the increased resistance provided by ISMP in the *N. benthamiana* susceptible specie against these oomycetes. Probably, the baseline or delayed expression of ISMP in *N. tabacum* cv. Samsun NN and *N. benthamiana* plants would be insufficient to stop these pathogens’ infection.

Nevertheless, the overexpression of the ISMP gene in the species tested conferred high protection against the infection by *P. parasitica* var. *nicotianae* and *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina*, respectively. Undoubtedly,

---

**Figure 2.** Evaluation of *N. benthamiana* plants expressing the NmIMSP gene, after the inoculation of Ppn and *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina*, ten days post-inoculation. A) Phenotype of control plants (wild-type, WT; or transformed with the green fluorescence protein control gene, PVX::GFP) and *N. benthamiana* plants expressing the ISMP gene (PVX::NmIMSP) during its interaction with Ppn and *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina*. B) Detailed observation of leaf lesions. C and D) Quantitative evaluation of *N. benthamiana* resistance to Ppn and *P. hyoscyami* f. sp. *tabacina*, respectively.
it was evidenced the relevance of this gene for the plants’ defensive response against oomycetes.

**Main practical relevance of the study**

The major contribution of the study was to increase plant resistance against high incidence pathogens of economically relevant plants, through the use of genes encoding the protease inhibitor and the polygalacturonidase, as part of genetic improvement programs. By these means, a wide-spectrum resistance can be developed in crops, by inhibiting PAMPs.


